Abstract

The influence of machine learning (ML) on large-scale graph data is substantial, and the 1st OGB Large-Scale Challenge (OGB-LSC) was organized on KDD Cup 2021 to invite participants to develop innovative methods for the large-scale graph network datasets. MAG240M-LSC is a heterogeneous academic graph extracted from the Microsoft Academic Graph (MAG) with multiple relations between papers, authors, and institutions. Participants are required to predict the topics corresponding to the publication. However, the problem of machine learning over large graphs is not yet solved. The MAG240M-LSC competition track on NeurIPS 2022 is now being held once more. In this competition, we upgrade the R-UniMP model with PEG and GPR methods. Besides, we optimize the GNN model training speed using 8 A100-80G GPU with 640G memory, making it possible to train a SOTA model in almost one hour under the fastest mode. Finally, our best single model can reach 74.04% in the official validation split and we finally achieve 75.70% in the final test set which is bagged over 160 models. The source code is available at [https://github.com/PaddlePaddle/PGL/tree/main/examples/NeurIPS2022-OGB-Challenge/MAG240M](https://github.com/PaddlePaddle/PGL/tree/main/examples/NeurIPS2022-OGB-Challenge/MAG240M).
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1 Introduction

In recent years, there has been a significant amount of interest in graph-centered machine learning. Hu et al. [1] hold OGB Large-Scale Challenge (OGB-LSC) at NeurIPS 2022 again, which contains three large-scale real-world datasets corresponding to three common graph challenges: node prediction, link prediction, and graph prediction. MAG240M-LSC is one of the tasks asking participants to predict labels for nodes. MAG240M-LSC is extracted from Microsoft Academic Graph (MAG) [2], which contains 244,160,499 nodes and 1,728,364,232 edges, the largest dataset among OGB-LSC. Nodes in MAG240M-LSC represent papers, authors, and institutes. And we have three types of edges: paper-cite-paper, author-write-paper, author-affiliated-institute. Among the 121M paper nodes, there are about 1.4M nodes are from ARXIV annotated with 153 ARXIV subject areas. Features for paper nodes are extracted by powerful pre-trained language model RoBERTA [3] with concatenated title and abstract of the titles as inputs. The task is to predict the primary subject areas of the given ARXIV papers as an ordinary multi-class classification problem. The metric is classification accuracy.

The previous year, Team PGL won the competition for node prediction in MAG240M-LSC based on the R-GAT [1] model to learn node representation from aggregated multi-relation neighborhood information [4]. Additionally, predictions are significantly improved when label propagation and post-smoothing are applied to the observed labels in UniMP [5]. In this competition, Team PGL upgrades the R-UniMP model by testing out more novel methods. By utilizing an innovative Positional Encoding for GNN [6] to enhance edge attention between node pairs and a weighted summation of all layers’ node representations based on Generalized PageRank coefficients [7], we obtain better single-model scores than last year.

In addition to optimizing the strategy of GNN model itself, we spend time optimizing the model training speed. With the upgrade of GPU hardware architecture and expansion of GPU memory, we are able to train a R-UniMP model in almost one hour by moving the whole GNN training workflow from CPU to GPU. Faster training speed enables us to have a higher efficiency of model investigation and test out more complicated models.

2 Methods

2.1 Positional Encoding for GNN

We adopt sinusoidal encoding [8] based on year of publication [4,9] and learned network embedding [10] as absolute position encoding (PE) denoted by $Z$. In general, the PE are simply sum together to origin node feature, which however, may not perform to their full potential. Inspired by PEG [6] which uses separate channels to update the original node features and positional features, we using the euclidean distance of PE as the edge weights between nodes. The distance-based edge weights can be integrated to R-UniMP [4] module for computing attention scores as following:

$$
\alpha_{ij} = \text{softmax} \left( \tilde{a}(W_h_j || W_h_i) + \Phi(\|Z_i - Z_j\|) \right)
$$

(1)

where $\alpha_{ij}$ is the attention score between node i and j, $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ are learnable parameters matrix, $Z_i$ is the PE of node i, $\Phi$ is a MLP projection from $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$. Unlike PEG [6], we still add the position encoding to origin node feature.

2.2 Generalized PageRank

To jointly improve the extraction of node features and topological information, we design to first learn node hidden features via multi-edge type in R-GAT [1] architecture, and then to propagate them through Generalized PageRank techniques (GPR) [7]. The GPR component associates each step of feature propagation with a weight, mitigating the feature-over-smoothing issue. Each node hidden state feature with GPR weight can be mathematically described as:

$$
\gamma_k = \beta (1 - \beta)^k, Z = \sum_{k=0}^{K} \gamma_k H^{(k)}
$$

(2)
where $\gamma_k$ and $H^{(k)}$ represents the k-th step GPR weight and node hidden state feature respectively. $\beta \in (0, 1)$ is a hyperparameter coefficient for PageRank, and $Z$ denotes the final node embedding combined by all node hidden state features in $K$ steps.

### 2.3 Training MAG240M in nearly 1 hour

In addition to optimizing the strategy of GNN model itself, we also spend time optimizing the model training speed. Last year we participated in the MAG240M-LSC track of the 1st OGB Large-Scale Challenge, and achieved quite good results. The fly in the ointment was that it took too long to train a model at that time, at around 40 hours on 8 Tesla V100 cards for training 100 epochs, which seriously reduced the efficiency of model investigation.

A complete workflow for sampling based mini-batch GNN training usually contains 3 steps: mini-batch graph sampling, feature gathering and model training. In our previous implementation, since we store graph structures and features on CPU, we need to do graph sampling and feature gathering on CPU. After that, the sampled graph and gathered features will be transferred from CPU to GPU, leading the network communication to be a bottleneck for GNN training.

With the upgrade of GPU hardware architecture and expansion of GPU memory, the entire graph feature of MAG240M dataset can be placed into 8 Tesla A100-80G cards, which gives us the premise to move the above time-consuming operations from CPU to GPU. Prior to this, there have been some acceleration methods such as torch-quiver [11] which make use of UVAM (Unified Virtual Addressing) and GPU sampling methods to help accelerate training speed, but we hope to go further and move the entire GNN workflow onto GPU. To achieve this, we explored the way of 350G graph feature storage and training using multiple GPUs, and also made some optimizations on graph sampling. After these efforts, we are able to train the sample model as last year using only 1.1 hour on 8 Tesla A100-80G cards, with 36x speed up compared to last year. Faster training speed also enables us to have a higher efficiency of model investigation, which means we have more time to design a better and more complicated model. Finally, the model we design this year can be trained at about 3.3 hours for 100 epochs at the fastest, and can achieve a better result than last year.

#### 2.3.1 Graph Sampling

One way to speed up graph sampling is to use GPU sampling instead of CPU sampling. However, the entire graph structure is usually too large to store on GPU memory. Therefore, inspired by torch-quiver [11], we implement the UVA-Based (Unified Virtual Addressing Based) tensor technology, supporting graph structure tensor storing on CPU memory while sampling graph with GPU. In this way, we can achieve much faster graph sampling performance than CPU sampling.

#### 2.3.2 Feature gathering

After graph sampling, the next problem to be concerned is feature gathering. The node feature of MAG240M dataset is about 350G. Usually, we place the feature on CPU memory, and then pull the gathered feature to GPU for model training, which is quite time-consuming. A very intuitive idea is to put the features directly on GPU. To achieve this, we split graph feature from embedding dimension, shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Split feature on embedding dimension. Suppose the shape of feature is [N, 768], then each GPU will store part of feature in the shape of [N, 96].](image)

Suppose we have 8 Tesla A100-80G GPU cards, each GPU can store 43.75 GB feature. Then we can use NCCL library to help gather feature, utilizing the powerful bandwidth of NVLink to transfer data.
As for A100-40G, we can use UVA-Based tensor technology to help store graph feature on CPU, but still gather feature using NCCL library.

3 Experiments

3.1 Implementation Details

All our implementation can be found at https://github.com/PaddlePaddle/PGL/tree/main/examples/NeurIPS2022-0GB-Challenge/MAG240M. The code is implemented with Paddle Graph Learning (PGL) which is a graph neural network framework based on message passing paradigms with highly optimized training speed. We train each of our models with 8x Tesla A100 (80G), Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6248 CPU @ 2.50GHz and 1.5TB memories, using only 3-4 hours. For hyperparameter settings of best single model, we train our model by using AdamW\[12]\ algorithm and batch-size of \{512,1024\} within 100 epochs, where initial learning rate is 0.0003. The ratio of model dropout, feature dropout and attention dropout is set to 0.4/0.1/0.1. The number of heads of R-UniMP is chosen in \{1,2\} and number of layers is fixed to 2. The \(\beta\) of GPR is set to 0.1 and the hidden channels of MLP in PEG is 128.

3.2 Best Single Model

Table 1 shows the result of single model in official validation set. During inference stage, we set the number of neighbors sampled to 200 in all layers. Row 1 is the base model\[4\] with papers’ year position encode and metapath2vec (m2v)\[10\]. Attention dropout and Generalized PageRank can be increased by 0.13% and 0.2% from base model respectively. After using strategy of PEG\[6\], our best model in row 4 achieves 0.26% improvement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Official Validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-UniMP + year_pos + m2v</td>
<td>73.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 + attn_drop</td>
<td>73.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 + GPR</td>
<td>73.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 + PEG (year_pos + m2v)</td>
<td><strong>74.04%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Ensemble Models

Benefit from the optimization of training speed, we train 160 models with different hyperparameters within one week. In Table 2, we show 5-fold performance before and after post-smoothing (P-S)\[13,4\] of the seven strong models with different settings such as metapath2vec (m2v, metapath: autor-institution-author, autor-paper-author, and autor-institution-paper-institution-author), expanded metapath2vec (p2p, newly added metapath: paper-paper-author-paper), training node vector of p2p’s metapath under fixed nodes’ roberta feature (roberta_p2p), and the non-interactive GAT model without destination node in neighbors’ attention score calculation\[14\] (not_attn_dst). Here, we use perform concatenation by JK-Net\[15\] on the output of all layer. The ensemble models achieved accuracy scores of 75.70% in Test-Challenge datasets.

3.4 Speed Performance

In this section, we mainly post the specific speed data before and after the speed optimization.

3.4.1 Graph Sampling on Reddit Dataset

The graph sampling experiments on Reddit are shown in Table 3, where we sample over all the training data of Reddit dataset. Since we have UVA-Based tensor technology, the Reddit graph can be stored on CPU or GPU. Therefore, we distinguish them as UVA sampling and GPU sampling on
Table 2: 5-Fold Validation Performance and Model Ensemble

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Valid Result</th>
<th>P-S Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPR + PEG (m2v + year_pos)</td>
<td>77.21%</td>
<td>77.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG (m2v + year_pos)</td>
<td>77.18%</td>
<td>77.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR + PEG (p2p + year_pos)</td>
<td>77.16%</td>
<td>77.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR + PEG (m2v + year_pos) + JK(cat)</td>
<td>77.07%</td>
<td>77.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR + PEG (m2v + year_pos) + JK(cat)</td>
<td>77.04%</td>
<td>77.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR + PEG (roberta_p2p + year_pos)</td>
<td>77.10%</td>
<td>77.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR + PEG (m2v + year_pos) + no_attn_dst</td>
<td>77.15%</td>
<td>77.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table. It can be seen that the UVA sampling speed can reach 75 to 105 times of the CPU sampling speed under different batch_size, and using GPU sampling can further improve the sampling speed, about 98 to 141 times of CPU sampling.

Table 3: Graph Sampling Speed on Reddit Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch Size</th>
<th>CPU Sampling</th>
<th>UVA Sampling</th>
<th>GPU Sampling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>80.96s</td>
<td>1.07s</td>
<td>0.82s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>78.97s</td>
<td>0.94s</td>
<td>0.69s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>77.90s</td>
<td>0.74s</td>
<td>0.55s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.2 Training RGAT Model with different feature gathering mode

The experiments of training R-GAT model on MAG240M dataset in Figure 2 show that GPU feature gathering mode can achieve fastest training speed compared with other modes. Besides, we also run the DGL example for comparison. It can be seen that our method can greatly improve the training speed.

Figure 2: Training R-GAT model on MAG240M dataset. We run different modes of feature gathering, including CPU mode, UVA mode and GPU mode. In addition, we run DGL’s example for comparison. Experiments are done on eight 80GB A100 cards and 1.5TB CPU memories.

Recently we notice that NVIDIA team release a fast graph neural network training framework named WholeGraph[16], which can achieve a speed of 11.2 seconds per training epoch. WholeGraph’s optimization idea is similar to ours, except that they further adopt the acceleration of GPU direct access when gathering features.
3.4.3 Speed changes before and after optimization

Table 4: Training Speed performance of R-UniMP Model before and after optimization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Time per epoch</th>
<th>Time for 100 epochs</th>
<th>Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-UniMP (code in KDD 21)</td>
<td>1440s</td>
<td>40h</td>
<td>8x V100-32G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-UniMP (optimized)</td>
<td>40s</td>
<td>1.11h</td>
<td>8x A100-80G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-UniMP + PEG + GPR</td>
<td>120s</td>
<td>3.33h</td>
<td>8x A100-80G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Besides, we show in Table 4 the spent time of training the same model before and after speed optimization, as well as the time spent on the model combined with complex strategies this year. It proved again that faster training speed enables us to have a higher efficiency of model investigation and brings us better model results.
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